A.— Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA (Minister for Law and Labour).—

(*a*) 30-1-1961.

(*b*) The matter is under consideration.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) Yes ; names recommended cannot be disclosed, as they are confidential nature.

(*f*) Normally.

Member's Representation

†Sri M.C. NARASIMHAN.—Sir, yesterday we raised an issue regarding the incident that took place four days back in this House when one of the Members of the House presided when he forcibly siezed the chair. (*Laughter*). Actually he occupied the Chair when he had no right to do so, and when it was against the rules of this House and against the Constitution. This Assembly could not therefore be said to have been properly constituted during that period. The Speaker was pleased to observe that he will look into the whole question and try to find out if any action should be taken, probably against Sri L. S. Venkaji Rao for what he did or what should be done in respect of the whole proceedings. If the proceedings are going to be treated as *null* and *void*, it stands to reason that those members —particular Sri Shivappa was pleased to attack us and we would like to hear him again—should be heard again. An opportunity should be given to them for the purpose of record. Otherwise there will no record. An immediate decision in necessary on this matter. Supposing the Chair ask the Ministers to reply the whole debate would have been wound up and those members would find no place in the records. They have a right to make observations. I therefore request that you do not call upon the Ministers to reply.

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Hon'ble Speaker has heard all agruments and he has reserved his decision. He will have to give his decision first.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Before a decision is given by the Speaker, we would like to make ourselves sure whether those speeches are going to be treated as cancelled, whether they will find a place in the records and if they do not find a place, what is the effect of those speeches and whether the Ministers are going to refer to their speeches. If they do so, I would object.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—What the Speaker is going to say by way of a ruling would cover all the points raised.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—If the Speaker gives a ruling tomorrow when the Ministers have replied, what is the use.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—There was ruling that the proceedings will be *null* and *void*. The proceedings will go to show what the point of order is and what the ruling of the Speaker is.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I think any more discussion on this point would not be helpful. The Speaker has reserved his ruling.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—No, he has not reserved his ruling. He has given the ruling.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—I am told that he has reserved.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—You please look into yesterday's proceedings. He has clearly stated that all the proceedings which were presided over by Sri L. S. Venkaji Rao are *null* and *void*. If that be so, what is to happen to the speeches of Hon'ble Members delivered during that period. The time ought to be made good now and such members should be allowed to speak again.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Indirectly he wants me to give a ruling on this subject, whereas the speaker has reserved his ruling. Let us wait for his ruling.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—You are the Speaker of today. You can give the ruling. We want to know whether you are going to extend the time in proportion to that.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—That is being examined. He must wait till the Speaker gives his ruling.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ನಾಗರತ್ನಮ್ಮ — ನನಗೆ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಸಂಶಯ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ನಿಮಿಷಕ್ಕೆ 90 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಂದ ಮೇರೆ ಆ ದಿನ ಎರಡು ಘಂಟೆಗಳ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಖರ್ಚಾಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಯಾರು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೆ ?

Sri V. P. DEENADAYALU NAIDU.—Apart from the expenditure or other formalities concerning yesterday's discussion, I consider it is a matter of fundamental right involved and privilege of certain Members are also involved i that. I think that an unprecedented thing has happened, when once the House had given the privilege to one August Member of the House and he took the Chair without a murmur, the proceedings went on and there was no objection raised at any time. In fact it was a discussion without any difference. That was the position in which we were. At some later stage, I do not know how it came up and I do not know, with the greatest respect let me submit to you, what has been said by shri Muckannappa is not correct. I wish to challenge it. It is not correct. I would like to have a discussion on the matter. It is a constitutional matter of right.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—I am raising a point of order, Sir. When You were pleased to say that the Hon'ble Speaker has reserved a ruling in this mattar, is it open to the Hon'ble Member to speak so vehemently on the same matter and throw out challenges ? We have agreed that the Speaker has to give his ruling. I request the Chair to give a direction

(Sri M. RAMAPPA)

that the Hon'ble Member, Mr. Naidu is not in order to speak on that subject.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.— I do not allow any more discussion on that subject. The question is being examined. Please wait till the ruling is given. Meantime, I request the Hon'ble Members to resume their seats.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION BILL, 1961.

Motion to Introduce

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN (Deputy Minister for Finance).— Sir, I beg to introduce the Mysore Appropriation Vote on Account Bill 1961.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The Mysore Appropriation Vote On Account Bill, 1961 is introduced.

Motion to Consider

Sri J. H. SHAMSUDDIN.—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Appropriation Vote on Account Bill, 1961 be taken into consideration."

Mr. CHAIRMAN.— The Motion moved:

"That the Mysore Appropriation Vote On Account Bill 1961 be taken into consideration."

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.— ನಾನು ಬಡೆಟ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವಿಷ್ಟುರುವುದನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಂಡು ಆ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಆಫ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿರುವಂತೆ ನನಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಈಗ ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ತಿ.—ಡಿಮ್ಯಾಂಡ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ. ಈಗ ಮಾತನಾಡುವುದು ಬೇಡ.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.— The Chief Minister was po nting out the convention that is prevailing in this matter.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—May I draw the attention of the Hon'ble Chief Minister and the Leader of the House to the provisions under the Rules. The Debate on the Appropriation Bill shall be restricted to matters of public importance or administrative policy implied under the Grants covered in the Bill which have not been already raised. My friend Mr. Narasimhe Gowda wants to touch on points that have not already been raised.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.— Of course the Members have a right to discuss. But as a matter of convention, they never discuss it.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.— Why not the Government also establish a convention to convene the assembly well in time and see that the Demands are formally voted well in advance ? Why not the final Appropriation Bill be passed by the end of March each year. The Vote